## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Cha	pter/Sed	ction	Page		
1		ODUCTION			
	1.1	Purpose and Intended Uses of this Draft Environmental Impact Report			
	1.2	Scope and Organization of this Draft EIR			
	1.3	CEQA Process	_		
2	SUMMARY				
	2.1	Introduction			
	2.2	Summary Description of the Proposed Project	2-1		
	2.3	Environmental Impacts and Recommended Mitigation Measures			
	2.4	Summary of Alternatives			
	2.5	Areas of Controversy and Issues to be Resolved	2-6		
3	PROJ	JECT DESCRIPTION			
	3.1	Introduction and proposed project			
	3.2	Alignment Options			
	3.3	Required City Actions			
	3.4	Other Permits and Approvals	3-45		
4	ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING, IMPACTS, AND MITIGATION MEASURES				
	4.1	Aesthetics	4.1-1		
	4.2	Air Quality			
	4.3	Biological Resources			
	4.4	Cultural Resources			
	4.5	Geology and Soils			
	4.6	Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Climate Change			
	4.7	Hazards and Hazardous Materials			
	4.8	Hydrology and Water Quality			
	4.9	Land Use and Planning			
	4.10	Noise			
	4.11	Public Services			
	4.12	Recreation			
	4.13	Transportation and Circulation			
	4.14	Utilities			
5	OTHE	ER CEQA CONSIDERATIONS SECTIONS			
	5.1	Cumulative Impacts			
	5.2	Growth-Inducing Impacts			
	5.3	Significant Environmental Effects	5-15		
6	ALTERNATIVES				
	6.1	Introduction			
	6.2	Considerations for Selection of Alternatives			
	6.3	Alternatives Considered and Eliminated from Further evaluation			
	6.4	Alternatives Considered for Detailed Evaluation			
	6.5	Environmentally Superior Alternative	6-20		
7	REFE	RENCES	7-1		
8	REPO	ORT PREPARATION	8-1		
9	ACRO	DNYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	9-1		

Appe	endices	(provi	ided	on	CD	at ba	ck of	repoi	rt)
Α	Notice of	of Prepa	ratior	ı					
_	_	`							

- Notice of Preparation
- В Comments Received on the NOP
- С
- Air Quality CalEEMod Modeling
  CNDDB, USFWS, and CNPS Record Searches
  GHG CalEEMod Modeling D
- Ε
- Dry Creek Greenway Trail Fluvial Audit F

## **Exhibits**

Exhibit 3-1	Project Vicinity	3-2
Exhibit 3-2	Project Location	
Exhibit 3-3	Typical Trail Cross-Section	
Exhibit 3-4	Proposed Alignment and Project Site Overview	3-11
Exhibit 3-5	Proposed Trail Alignment and Project Features - Sheet 1 Segment	
Exhibit 3-6	Proposed Trail Alignment and Project Features - Sheet 2 Segment	
Exhibit 3-7	Proposed Trail Alignment and Project Features - Sheet 3 Segment	
Exhibit 3-8	Proposed Trail Alignment and Project Features - Sheet 4 Segment	
Exhibit 3-9	Proposed Trail Alignment and Project Features - Sheet 5 Segment	3-27
Exhibit 3-10	Proposed Trail Alignment and Project Features - Sheet 6 Segment	3-29
Exhibit 3-11	Proposed Trail Alignment and Project Features - Sheet 7 Segment	3-33
Exhibit 3-12	Proposed Trail Alignment and Project Features - Sheet 8 Segment	
Exhibit 3-13	Alignment Option 1A	3-39
Exhibit 3-14	Alignment Option 1C	
Exhibit 3-15	Alignment Option 5A	3-43
Exhibit 4.1-1	Photo Locations	4.1-2
Exhibit 4.1-2	Photo 1: Dry Creek, East of Proposed Riverside Trailhead	4.1-3
Exhibit 4.1-3	Photo 2: Existing Unimproved Trail, Looking West from Oak Ridge Drive	4.1-4
Exhibit 4.1-4	Photo 3: Existing Paved Trail, Looking East from Oak Ridge Drive	4.1-4
Exhibit 4.1-5	Photo 4: View of Existing Trail, West of Rocky Ridge Drive, Looking Southeast	4.1-5
Exhibit 4.1-6	Photo 5: View of Existing Trail from Eastwood Park, Looking Northwest across	
Basketball Co	urts	
Exhibit 4.1-7	Photo 6: View of Darling Road Bridge, Looking West	4.1-6
Exhibit 4.1-8	Photo 7: View of Existing Trail Looking West from the Corner, of Hernandez Lane	e and
	Machado Lane	
Exhibit 4.1-9	Proposed Bridge Rendering – Dry Creek near Hernandez Lane	4.1-13
Exhibit 4.1-10	Proposed Bridge Rendering –Cirby Creek near Machado Lane	4.1-13
Exhibit 4.2-1	Western Placer County 2012 Emissions Inventory	4.2-6
	Project Site	
	Habitat Types and Biological Resources in the Project Site	
Exhibit 4.3-2b	Habitat Types and Biological Resources in the Project Site	4.3-11
	Habitat Types and Biological Resources in the Project Site	
	Habitat Types and Biological Resources in the Project Site	
	Habitat Types and Biological Resources in the Project Site	
	Habitat Types and Biological Resources in the Project Site	
	Habitat Types and Biological Resources in the Project Site	
Exhibit 4.3-2h	Habitat Types and Biological Resources in the Project Site	4.3-23

Exhibit 4.5-1	Soils	4.5-5
Exhibit 4.7-1	Wildland Fire Threat Areas	4.7-2
Exhibit 4.8-1	Regulatory Components of the 100-year Floodplain	4.8-2
Exhibit 4.8-2	FEMA FIRM and City of Roseville 100-year Flood Hazard Zones	
Exhibit 4.8-3	City of Roseville Regulatory Floodplain4	
Exhibit 4.8-4	Cirby Creek Potential Streambank Stabilization Example4	
Exhibit 4.9-1	General Plan Land Use Designations	4.9-3
Exhibit 4.9-2	Zoning Designations	
Exhibit 4.9-3	Proposed Trail Alignment Right-of-Way and Easement Requirements (Approximate) Sheet 1 Segment	) —
Exhibit 4.9-4	Proposed Trail Alignment Right-of-Way and Easement Requirements (Approximate)	) —
E 1 11 11 4 0 E	Sheet 2 Segment	
Exhibit 4.9-5	Proposed Trail Alignment Right-of-Way and Easement Requirements (Approximate Sheet 3 Segment	.9-17
Exhibit 4.9-6	Proposed Trail Alignment Right-of-Way and Easement Requirements (Approximate) Sheet 4 Segment	
Exhibit 4.9-7	Proposed Trail Alignment Right-of-Way and Easement Requirements (Approximate) Sheet 5 Segment	) —
Exhibit 4.9-8	Proposed Trail Alignment Right-of-Way and Easement Requirements (Approximate) Sheet 6 Segment	) —
Exhibit 4.9-9	Proposed Trail Alignment Right-of-Way and Easement Requirements (Approximate) Sheet 7 Segment	) —
Exhibit 4.9-10	Proposed Trail Alignment Right-of-Way and Easement Requirements (Approximate) Sheet 8 Segment	) —
Eyhihit 4 0-11	Alignment Option 1A Right-of-Way and Easement	
	Alignment Option 1C Right-of-Way and Easement	
	Alignment Option 5A Right-of-Way and Easement	
Exhibit 4-12-1	Existing and Proposed Bike Network4	.12-4
Exhibit 4-12-2	Park Facilities4	.12-5
Exhibit 4 13-1	Roseville Transit Routes4	13-2
	Existing and Proposed Bike Network	
Exhibit 4.14-1	Utilities4	.14-3
Tables		
Table 1-1	Comment Letters and Discussion Location in Draft EIR	1-2
Table 2-1	Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures	2-7
Table 3-1	Potential Bicycle Trail, Path, and Route System Connections	
Table 3-2	Proposed Bridge Work	
Table 3-3	Retaining Walls	.3-14
Table 4.1-1	Scenic Quality and Viewer Exposure to Proposed Staging Areas4	.1-11
Table 4.2-1	Summary of Annual Data on Local Ambient Air Quality (2012-2016)	4.2-2
Table 4.2-2	Ambient Air Quality Standards and Designations for Western Placer County	4.2-4

Table 4.2-3	Summary of Modeled Temporary Construction-Generated Emissions for the Proposed Project	4.2-15
Table 4.3-1 Table 4.3-2 Table 4.3-3a	Aquatic Habitats within the Project Site	4.3-7
Table 4.3-3b	Sensitive Vegetation Alliances Potentially Occurring or Known to Occur in the	
Table 4.3-4	Project Site  Distance of Elderberry Shrubs from Proposed Construction Limits	
Table 4.3-5 Table 4.3-6	Mitigation for Loss of Individual Shrubs According to Preliminary Project Design . Potential Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle Habitat-Level Compensation	
Table 4.5-1 Table 4.5-2	Divisions of Geologic TimeCharacteristics of Soils on the Project Site	
Table 4.5-2	Bank Erosion Severity in Project Site	
Table 4.5-4	Acreage of Permanent and Temporary Impacts by Soil Map Unit	
Table 4.5-5	Proposed Alignment Erosion Hazard (Road/Trail)	
Table 4.5-6	Proposed Alignment Bank Erosion Severity	
Table 4.6-1	Roseville Sustainability Action Plan Strategies and GHG Emission Reductions	4.6-9
Table 4.6-2	Summary of Maximum Annual GHG Emissions Associated with Project Construction Activities	4.6-12
Table 4.8-1 Table 4.8-2	Potentially Jurisdictional Wetlands and Other Waters within the Project Site Water Quality Objectives for Hydrologic Unit 519.21 – Folsom Dam to	
<b>-</b>	Sacramento River	
Table 4.8-3	Disturbance Resulting from In-Stream Project Components	4.8-22
Table 4.9-1	Right-of-Way Requirements by Parcel (Approximate)	4.9-29
Table 4.10-1	Typical Noise Levels	
Table 4.10-2	Human Response to Different Levels of Ground Noise and Vibration	
Table 4.10-3	Representative Ground Vibration and Noise Levels for Construction Equipment	
Table 4.10-4	Noise Levels from Construction Activity	
Table 4.10-5 Table 4.10-6	Representative Ground Vibration and Noise Levels for Construction Equipment Distance Standards between Vibratory Construction Equipment and Receptors	
Table 4.12-1	2015 Parks and Open Space Acreage	4.12-1
Table 4.13-1 Table 4.13-2	Arterials and Collectors Within the Proposed Project Area  Potential Circulation System Connections	
Table 4.14-1	Public Utility Providers in the City of Roseville	4.14-1
Table 5-1	Geographic Scope of Cumulative Impacts	5-2
Table 6-1	Comparison of the Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives in Relation to the	6-21